

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,522		03/29/2004	Walter Forrest Frantz	BING-1-1074	1935
46020	7590	10/03/2005		EXAMINER	
		GRAHAM PLI E, SUITE 4800	.C	COLLINS, TIMOTHY D	
SEATTLE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3643	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summans	10/811,522	FRANTZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy D. Collins	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	• ,					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims  4)						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal (6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)  Office A	ction Summary P	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050922				

Application/Control Number: 10/811,522 Page 2

Art Unit: 3643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-10, drawn to an interface, classified in class 248, subclass 346.01.
  - II. Claims 11-17, drawn to an assembly, classified in class 248, subclass 346.03.
  - III. Claims 18-25, drawn to an aircraft, classified in class 244, subclass 118.6.
  - IV. Claims 26-29, drawn to a seat track, classified in class 297, subclass 311.
  - V. Claims 30-34, drawn to an assembly, classified in class 297, subclass 311.
  - VI. Claims 35-41, drawn to an aircraft combination, classified in class 244, subclass 118.5.
  - VII. Claims 42-52, drawn to a method of securing, classified in class 248, subclass 346.5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions VII and I-VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Art Unit: 3643

806.05(e)). In this case the method may be used in a device which is an apparatus for welding and holder for work while welding, using common clamps.

- 3. Inventions I/II/III and IV/V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the particulars of the elongated support and plurality of couplers in it. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a seat for a car.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions VI/III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because claims 35-41 (AB<sub>br</sub>) is an evidence claim which indicates that combination claims 18-25 (AB<sub>sp</sub>) do not rely upon the specific details of the subcombination claims 1-10 (B<sub>sp</sub>) for its patentability. Accordingly, where the combination evidence claim (Ab<sub>br</sub>) does not set forth the details of the subcombination (B<sub>sp</sub>) and the subcombination (B<sub>sp</sub>) has separate utility, such as a workstation support, the inventions are distinct and restriction is PROPER. See MPEP § 806.05(c).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,522

Art Unit: 3643

5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions VI/III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because claims 35-41 ( $AB_{br}$ ) is an evidence claim which indicates that combination claims 18-25 ( $AB_{sp}$ ) do not rely upon the specific details of the subcombination claims 11-17 ( $B_{sp}$ ) for its patentability. Accordingly, where the combination evidence claim ( $Ab_{br}$ ) does not set forth the details of the subcombination ( $B_{sp}$ ) and the subcombination ( $B_{sp}$ ) has separate utility, such as a workstation support, the inventions are distinct and restriction is PROPER. See MPEP § 806.05(c).

Page 4

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,522 Page 5

Art Unit: 3643

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy D. Collins whose telephone number is 571-272-6886. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00-3:00, with every other Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy D. Collins
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3643